Open-Label Allopregnanolone Treatment of Men with Fragile X-Associated Tremor/Ataxia Syndrome
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Abstract Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) is a late-onset neurodegenerative disorder affecting approximately 45% of male and 16% of female carriers of the FMR1 premutation over the age of 50 years. Currently, no effective treatment is available. We performed an open-label intervention study to assess whether allopregnanolone, a neurosteroid promoting regeneration and repair, can improve clinical symptoms, brain activity, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measurements in patients with FXTAS. Six patients underwent weekly intravenous infusions of allopregnanolone (2–6 mg over 30 min) for 12 weeks. All patients completed baseline and follow-up studies, though MRI scans were not collected from 1 patient because of MRI contraindications. The MRI scans from previous visits, along with scans from 8 age-matched male controls, were also included to establish patients’ baseline condition as a reference. Functional outcomes included quantitative measurements of tremor and ataxia and neuropsychological evaluations. Brain activity consisted of event-related potential N400 word repetition effect during a semantic memory processing task. Structural MRI outcomes comprised volumes of the hippocampus, amygdala, and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery hyperintensities, and microstructural integrity of the corpus callosum. The results of the study showed that allopregnanolone infusions were well tolerated in all subjects. Before treatment, the patients disclosed impairment in executive function, verbal fluency and learning, and progressive deterioration of all MRI measurements. After treatment,
the patients demonstrated improvement in executive functioning, episodic memory and learning, and increased N400 repetition effect amplitude. Although MRI changes were not significant as a group, both improved and deteriorated MRI measurements occurred in individual patients in contrast to uniform deterioration before the treatment. Significant correlations between baseline MRI measurements and changes in neuropsychological test scores indicated the effects of allopregnanolone on improving executive function, learning, and memory for patients with relatively preserved hippocampus and corpus callosum, while reducing psychological symptoms for patients with small hippocampi and amygdalae. The findings show the promise of allopregnanolone in improving cognitive functioning in patients with FXTAS and in partially alleviating some aspects of neurodegeneration. Further studies are needed to verify the efficacy of allopregnanolone for treating FXTAS.
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**Introduction**

Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) is a late-onset neurodegenerative disorder that affects, with age- and sex-specific penetrance, carriers of premutation alleles (55–200 CGG repeats) of the fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1) gene. Defining clinical features of FXTAS include progressive kinetic tremor, gait ataxia, executive function and memory deficits, peripheral neuropathy, and parkinsonism. Associated variable features include cognitive impairment and dementia; psychiatric symptoms, such as depression; and dysautonomia [1–4]. FXTAS is characterized by progressive global brain atrophy and white matter disease of both the cerebellum and cerebral cortex [5–8]. Cerebellar and brainstem atrophy and ventricular enlargement are detectable even before the onset of tremor or ataxia [6, 9, 10]. Currently, no specific treatment for FXTAS can slow the progression of neurodegeneration, though symptomatic treatments exist for some of the symptoms, such as tremor [11].

Allopregnanolone is a naturally occurring neurosteroid with activity as a positive modulator of γ-aminobutyric acid A receptor signaling, eliminates the abnormal bursting in the premutation neurons, providing a mechanism for neuroprotection [16].

Allopregnanolone is endogenously present in the periphery and brain, and thus is expected to be safe for long-term treatment. Intravenous infusion of allopregnanolone in healthy subjects [17] and in the treatment of status epilepticus [18, 19] has not revealed treatment-related adverse effects other than sedation and mild nausea. Given the neuroregenerative properties of allopregnanolone and the preclinical evidence of efficacy in the FXTAS model, we sought to conduct a preliminary, open-label investigation of the therapeutic potential of allopregnanolone for carriers of the premutation with FXTAS. We assessed the impact of allopregnanolone on working memory deficits and neurological symptoms. In addition, we explored whether improvements in mitochondrial function and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) measurements can be observed that reflect neuroregeneration and alleviation of white matter disease.

**Methods**

**Study Design and Patients**

This study was a preliminary, 3-month, open-label, uncontrolled trial of allopregnanolone treatment in 6 men with FXTAS who received weekly intravenous infusions for a period of 12 weeks. Patients with FXTAS were recruited at the University of California, Davis (UC Davis), MIND Institute’s Fragile X Research and Treatment Center between December 2015 and March 2016, with the last participant completing treatment in July 2016. Notification of the study was provided on ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier: NCT02603926).

Individuals aged 50–85 years with the premutation and a diagnosis of FXTAS were eligible for the study. Exclusion criteria were other serious systemic disease, alcohol or drug abuse, and current use of phenytoin. To establish patients’ baseline condition, we included scans acquired before the treatment. Table 1 summarizes demographic information for the enrollees. Serial brain MRI scans from 8 age-matched healthy men carrying normal FMR1 alleles (CGG repeat length 20–32) were used as a null reference group for assessing MRI parameters (age 61 ± 6 years, range 52–72 years, 2–3 scans/individual).

CGG-repeat sizing was carried out using a polymerase chain reaction-based approach [20]. Mitochondrial outcomes in lymphocytes were measured, as previously described [21]. Lactate and pyruvate ratios from lymphocytes were detected by utilizing a metabolomic approach.
Intervention

The study was conducted under US Food and Drug Administration-approved Investigational New Drug Applications 111,085 (to MAR) and 125,502 (to RJH) and with the consent of the UC Davis Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was also obtained from each patient prior to the study. Allopregnanolone intravenous injection was formulated at the UC Davis Good Manufacturing Practices Laboratory and dispensed by the UC Davis Investigational Drug Pharmacy. The formulation consisted of 99.9% pure GMP-grade allopregnanolone (3α-hydroxy-5α-pregn-20-one) at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml in 0.9% sodium chloride injection, United States Pharmacopeia, containing 6% sulfobutyl ether-β-cyclodextrin sodium salt, United States Pharmacopeia (Dexolve; CycloLab, Budapest, Hungary). The weekly intravenous infusions and follow-up observations were performed at the Infusion Center at the UC Davis CTSC Clinical Research Center (CCRC) except for 2 patients residing in the New York area who received their first 3 infusions at the CCRC Infusion Center and the remainder of their infusions at the Mount Sinai Beth Israel Hospital Therapeutic Infusion Center (New York, NY, USA) under the supervision of one of the co-authors of this study (NL).

All infusions were administered over 30 min, followed by a flush for 30 min. The dose for the first infusion was 2.0 mg; the subsequent week, the dose was increased to 4.0 mg; and finally increased to 6.0 mg in the third week. The Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS) was administered before, during, and after each infusion. Infusions were to be terminated if the score fell below −1 (drowsy) during the infusion. Each subject had a RASS score of 0 (alert and calm) before beginning infusion and maintained the RASS score of 0 throughout each infusion. Since the 6.0-mg dose was tolerated by all subjects, this dose was administered on weeks 3 to 12 in all cases.

Each participant was required to be accompanied by a chaperone, who drove the participant home from the CCRC Infusion Center and remained with the participant for at least 20 h, to monitor for adverse effects.

Blood Level Measurements and Pharmacokinetic Analysis

Plasma allopregnanolone levels were determined by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry in 1 subject in blood samples drawn at intervals during and after a 6-mg infusion from the opposite arm of that receiving the intravenous infusion. Blood was collected in heparinized tubes before infusion (0 time), at 15 and 30 min during the infusion, and at 30, 90, and 150 min after the infusion. The blood was centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min to separate the plasma, which was stored at −80 °C until the time of analysis. D4-allopregnanolone was added as internal standard, and the analytes were extracted using the solid phase extraction method and injected into a Waters ACQUITY UPLC System (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The effluent was directed to a Xevo TQ-S triple quadrupole mass spectrometer, which was used to ionize target molecules and monitor the ion m/z transitions from 319.20 → 283.30 for allopregnanolone quantification and 323.3 → 287.3 for D4-allopregnanolone quantification. Two-compartment pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated with Phoenix WinNonlin 7.0 (Certara, Princeton, NJ, USA).

Assessments and Follow-Up

Clinical Assessment

All participants completed baseline and follow-up clinical examinations and neuropsychological assessments. A detailed physical and neurological examination was performed and videotaped [22], emphasizing the major features of FXTAS: cerebellar ataxia, intention tremor, and neuropathy findings, such as vibration sense and pinprick sensation.

Neuropsychological and Emotional Assessment

Learning and memory was measured through the California Verbal Learning Test 2 [23]. Working memory was assessed using Weschler Memory Scale-IV (WMS-IV) [24]. Other cognitive measures included Mini Mental Status Exam

---

**Table 1** Demographic Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total no. of previous visits</th>
<th>CGG-repeat length</th>
<th>FXTAS stage</th>
<th>Education (years)</th>
<th>Handedness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FXTAS = Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome
Behavior Dyscontrol Scale (BDS-2) [26, 27], Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB) [28], and Controlled Oral Word Association Test [29]. The Symptom Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90-R) [30], and Beck Anxiety Inventory [31] were used to assess neuropsychological symptoms. In addition, CATSYS [32, 33] tremor and sway assessments evaluated improvements in hand tremor and balance. Table 2 shows a brief description of functional measures used in the study.

### MRI Acquisitions and Analyses

MRI scans were acquired on a Siemens Trio 3 T MRI scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32-channel head coil. High-resolution T1-weighted Magnetization Prepared Rapid Gradient Echo (MPRAGE) images were acquired in 192 sagittal slices of 1-mm thickness with field of view (FOV) 256 mm, 256 × 256 matrix, repetition time (TR) of 2170 ms, echo time (TE) of 4.82 ms, and 7° flip angle. Fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images for quantifying subcortical lesions were acquired in 104 sagittal slices of 1.9-mm thickness with FOV 243 mm, 512 × 512 matrix, TR of 5000 ms, TE of 456 ms, and inversion time 1700 ms. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) scans for assessing microstructural integrity were obtained using a single shot spin-echo echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence in 68 axial slices of 2-mm thickness with FOV 224 mm, 112 × 112 matrix zero-filled to 224 × 224 pixels, TR of 7100 ms, TE of 73 ms, number of excitations of 2, 30 gradient encoding directions for \( b = 800 \ \text{s/mm}^2 \), along with 4 interleaved \( b = 0 \ \text{s/mm}^2 \) images.

MRI preprocessing included automated anterior commissure–posterior commissure alignment in T1-weighted scans using acpcdetect (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/art) [34]. For failed cases, manual anterior commissure–posterior commissure alignment was performed using DTI Studio (www.mristudio.org) [35]. MRI bias field correction in both T1 and FLAIR scans were performed using N4 (http://stnava.github.io/ANTs/) [36]. For DTI scans, motion and eddy current were corrected using FSL (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/) [37] prior to the generation of fractional anisotropy (FA) and mean diffusivity (MD) maps using DTI Studio.

Hippocampus, amygdala, and corpus callosum were segmented automatically using MRI Cloud (http://mricloud.org) [38], followed by automated machine learning-based error correction implemented in SegAdapter (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/segadapter/) [39, 40] and manual corrections. DTI FA and MD values of the corpus callosum were calculated based on previously published method [41], with modifications. Within-subject co-registration of MD maps with T1 was performed automatically, and then manually adjusted to maximize the match of the corpus callosum using FreeSurfer (https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/ffsiki/ffbregister) [42]. The resulting transformation was then applied to the FA maps. To further reduce the effect of mismatch, we generated masks by thresholding the MD maps at 2 \( \mu \text{m}^2/\text{ms} \) and applied the masks to both FA and MD maps using the FSL command, fslmaths. The generation of regions-of-interests for the 3 brain structures (i.e., hippocampus, amygdala, and corpus callosum), and calculation of volume, FA, and MD values were also performed in FSL using the commands fslmaths and fslstats.

Segmentation of subcortical hyperintensities was performed on FLAIR scans using a fully automated tool, lesion prediction algorithm (LPA) (http://www.applied-statistics.de/lst.html) [43] from SPM12. LPA has shown high performance relative to other publically available tools for automated FLAIR lesion segmentation [44]. To calculate FLAIR hyperintensity volume (HV) in the corpus callosum, we applied the threshold of 0.7 to LPA-generated lesion probability

#### Table 2 Description of neuropsychological and emotional tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)</td>
<td>Self-report questionnaire to obtain measurement of anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale (BDS-2)</td>
<td>Nine-item measurements assessing frontal lobe integrity, including executive function and cognitive control over behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB)</td>
<td>Computerized test measuring memory, attention and executive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATSYS</td>
<td>Test measuring postural sway, tremor, and gait using force plate and tremor pen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT)</td>
<td>Assessment measuring verbal fluency or cognitive flexibility that can be correlated with executive functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Verbal Learning Test (CVLT-II)</td>
<td>Assessment measuring working memory and memory subtypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE)</td>
<td>Clinician-administered assessment measuring cognitive function, mental state, orientation, and memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90-R)</td>
<td>Ninety-item self-reporting measure of psychological symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weschler Memory Scale Fourth Edition (WMS-IV)</td>
<td>Assessment measuring immediate, delayed, visual and auditory memory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
maps, followed by applying corpus callosum masks using fslmaths.

Event-Related Potential Word Repetition Effect Assessment

Electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings were obtained during a semantic category decision/word repetition paradigm at both visits [45]. Categorical statements were read aloud by an experimenter, with each phrase followed (~1 s later) by a target word visually presented in the center of the monitor (duration = 300 ms, visual angle = 0.4°). Participants were instructed to not move or respond for 3 s following the target word. Their response was to repeat the spoken word, followed by a “yes” or “no” judgment indicating whether the word was congruent with the preceding statement (congruious trial, 50%) or not (incongruous trial, 50%). An EEG session consisted of 2 blocks of 144 trials, each block lasting approximately 20 min. In each block, 12 congruous category–target pairs were presented once, 12 presented twice, and 12 presented 3 times. Equal numbers of incongruous pairs were presented in the same repetition conditions.

Thirty-two-channel EEG was recorded (Nicolet SM 2000 amplifier, bandpass 0.016–100 Hz, sampling rate 250 Hz). Interelectrode impedance was maintained below 5 kΩ. All scalp electrodes were referenced online to the left mastoid and re-referenced offline to the average of both mastoids. Eye movements were captured by electro-oculogram recorded with 4 electrodes (1 beneath and 1 at the outer canthus of each eye). All trials free of EEG/electro-oculogram artifact were averaged to produce the event-related potential (ERP) for each participant session.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted in R 3.2.5 language and environment [46]. To establish baseline MRI measurements for patients with FXTAS, group means and change rates before treatment were compared with the healthy controls using mixed effects models [47]. Improvements in primary outcome measures before and after treatment were tested for significance using 1-sided paired t tests. Pearson correlation coefficients were utilized to identify pretreatment MRI data predictive of functional improvements after the treatment. The threshold for statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Patient 3 (body weight 76.3 kg) received an intravenous infusion of 6 mg allopregnanolone over 30 min. Blood was collected in heparinized tubes before infusion (0 time), at 15 and 30 min during the infusion, and at 30, 90, and 150 min after the infusion. Table 3 shows the estimated 2-compartment pharmacokinetic parameters. The peak allopregnanolone concentration achieved at the end of the 30-min infusion was 35.5 ng/ml (112 nM). The plasma concentration values are shown in Figure 1.

Functional Outcomes

At baseline, all patients exhibited impairment in executive function assessed using BDS-2 (range 8–17). Four patients showed impairment in learning and memory (California Verbal Learning Test-II T-score 24–37) and 4 (with 3 overlapping) disclosed compromised verbal fluency (Controlled Oral Word Association Test-total raw score 16–29). In addition, 2 patients showed borderline scores of WMS visual memory (73 and 79) and 1 with borderline MMSE score at 24.

Table 3 Estimated 2-compartment pharmacokinetic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>l/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>l/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLx</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>l/h/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL2</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>l/h/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tmax = 30 min. Cmax = 35.5 ng/ml. The falling phase was fit to a single exponential with half-time of 34.7 min

V = volume of distribution of central compartment; V2 = volume of distribution of peripheral compartment; CL = central compartment clearance; CL2 = peripheral compartment clearance
MRI Findings

MRI analyses were performed on 5 patients from whom both pre- and post-treatment MRI scans were available. Before treatment, the patients showed significant progressive atrophy in the hippocampus, amygdala, and corpus callosum; HV expansion in both subcortical and corpus callosum regions; and FA decline and MD elevation in the corpus callosum (p from <0.001 to 0.045). Compared with the controls, the patients exhibited significantly elevated corpus callosum HV and MD, as well as accelerations in hippocampal atrophy, subcortical HV expansion, and corpus callosum FA decline (Fig. 2). After the treatment, MRI changes in both directions were seen in individual patients (Fig. 3). However, as a group, none of the changes reached statistical significance at the 0.05 level (Table 4).

Prediction of Cognitive Improvement

We evaluated whether baseline MRI measurements could predict cognitive improvement after the treatment. Whereas high baseline hippocampal volume was correlated significantly with improvement in both BDS-2 and WMS-IV visual memory (r = 0.89 and r = 0.96, respectively; \(R^2 = 0.79\) and \(R^2 = 0.93\), respectively; \(p = 0.043\) and \(p = 0.008\), respectively), both low baseline

---

**Table 4** Outcome measurements before and after treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome measurements</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Before infusion</th>
<th>After infusion</th>
<th>% of patients improved</th>
<th>Paired t test (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.87 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDS-2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>15.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.51 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-IV Auditory Memory</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>92.5 ± 8.4</td>
<td>94.2 ± 11.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.62 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-IV Visual Memory</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83.2 ± 9.3</td>
<td>89.6 ± 14.4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.31 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-IV Immediate Memory</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>88.6 ± 8.6</td>
<td>93.0 ± 11.3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.43 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-IV Delayed Memory</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>88.2 ± 8.4</td>
<td>91.2 ± 8.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-0.67 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLT-II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.0 ± 7.5</td>
<td>33.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-0.28 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26.2 ± 6.7</td>
<td>26.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-1.35 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCL-90 Global Severity Index</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55.8 ± 14.5</td>
<td>51.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-0.78 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTAB OTS PSFC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.60 ± 1.52</td>
<td>7.17 ± 2.14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.06 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTAB OTS MLFC (s)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52 ± 24.9</td>
<td>55.9 ± 41.9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.42 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTAB PAL Total Errors (Adj.)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76.5 ± 44.2</td>
<td>58.2 ± 38.8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-2.15 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANTAB SWM Total Errors</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>63.8 ± 17.2</td>
<td>66.5 ± 6.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.31 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATSYS DTD TI, right hand (m/s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-1.08 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATSYS DTD TI, left hand (m/s)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.26</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-1.08 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATSYS PS EO, 30 sec (mm²)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.81</td>
<td>16.0 ± 13.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.00 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus (cm³)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.87 ± 1.00</td>
<td>5.84 ± 1.18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-0.28 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala (cm³)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.17 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical HV (cm³)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48.9 ± 29.2</td>
<td>52.8 ± 24.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.79 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum (cm³)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>21.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1.77 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum HV (cm³)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-0.48 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum FA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.05</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.94 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum MD (μm²/ms)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.09</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.21 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4 Repetition (μV)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.95</td>
<td>-1.63 ± 0.14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-4.03 0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Magnetic resonance imaging measurements before infusion included only the last visits before infusion. Bold values indicated significance (i.e., \(p < 0.05\)).

MMSE = Mini Mental Status Exam; BDS-2 = Behavioral Dyscontrol Scale; WMS-IV = Weschler Memory Scale Fourth Edition; CVLT-II = California Verbal Learning Test; COWAT = Controlled Oral Word Association Test; SCL-90-R = Symptom Checklist-90-Revised; CANTAB = Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery; CANTAB OTS = CANTAB One Touch Stockings; CANTAB OTS PSFC = CANTAB OTS problem solved on first choice; CANTAB OTS MLFC = CANTAB OTS mean latency to first choice; CANTAB PAL = CANTAB Paired Association Learning; CANTAB SWM = CANTAB Spatial Working Memory; CATSYS DTD TI = CATSYS Dot-to-Dot Tremor Intensity; CATSYS PS EO = CATSYS Postural Sway Eyes Open; HV = hyperintensity volume; FA = fractional anisotropy; MD = mean diffusivity.
Hippocampal and amygdala volumes were correlated significantly with reduced SCL-90-R symptom severity ($r = 0.98$ and $r = 0.95$, respectively; $R^2 = 0.95$ and $R^2 = 0.91$, respectively; $p = 0.023$ and $p = 0.046$, respectively). In addition, low baseline subcortical HV volume was correlated with improvement in WMS-IV immediate memory ($r = -0.94$, $R^2 = 0.88$, $p = 0.018$), and both low baseline corpus callosum HV fraction (i.e., HV/volume) and MD values showed correlations with reduction in CANTAB One Touch Stockings mean latency to first choice ($r = 1.00$ and $r = 0.99$, respectively; $R^2 = 1.00$ and $R^2 = 0.97$, respectively; $p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.013$, respectively) (Fig. 4).

**ERP Results**

Figure 5 shows the grand averaged ERP difference waveforms (Incongruous New minus Incongruous Old) for the baseline and follow-up based on 4 patients. At the posterior electrodes illustrated, ERPs at the follow-up visits were more negative in general than those at the baseline. Paired $t$-tests demonstrated that mean amplitudes of the N400 repetition effect at POz were larger (more negative) at the follow-up compared with the baseline ($p = 0.014$; Table 4), suggesting beneficial intervention effects on verbal learning and memory.

**Molecular Measurements**

None of the mitochondrial outcomes tested (Complex I, II–III, IV, V, and citrate synthase activities; ratios of lactate-to-pyruvate, lactate, pyruvate, ratio of alanine and lactate to pyruvate; short-chain organic acids levels associated with mitochondrial respiratory chain disorders) were significantly different before and after the treatment (as determined by paired $t$ test).

**Discussion**

This open-label study demonstrates that intravenous infusion of allopregnanolone at doses up to 6 mg are well tolerated and
without apparent adverse effects in men with FXTAS. In a previous study of healthy women, a similar dose of allopregnanolone was associated with sedation and, in some subjects, mild nausea [17]; changes in saccadic eye movements were also consistent with sedation. While the peak concentration of allopregnanolone in patient 3 was modestly higher than achieved in this prior study, our subject did not exhibit sedation or nausea. The half-life of allopregnanolone following termination of the infusion was approximately 35 min. Therefore, the peak level was only present for a brief period of time, thus likely limiting the occurrence of side effects. In contrast, in the prior study, subjects were dosed with 3 successive boluses so that levels were elevated over a more prolonged time, increasing the likelihood that side effects would be perceived.

To date, no treatment has been demonstrated to slow symptom progression or neurodegeneration in FXTAS. In the present study, only patient 5, the least affected patient in our cohort, experienced subjective improvement. Following the allopregnanolone treatment, he reported improved balance and restored ability to walk down stairs. He also experienced a resolution of symptoms of peripheral neuropathy, including numbness and pain. Interestingly, studies in animal neuropathic pain models have demonstrated that allopregnanolone can exert a
reparative effect [48, 49]. In addition, in human studies, oral administration of synthetic allopregnanolone-like compounds exhibited antinociceptive properties. The other 5 patients did not experience improvement in their ataxia or balance symptoms, and none of the patients experienced improvement in their tremor. These subjective experiences are validated by the lack of significant improvement in the CATSYS measures of tremor or balance.

Cognitively, the patients demonstrated significant improvement in executive function and episodic memory and learning, the most affected domains in the 6 patients at baseline and in prior studies of patients with FXTAS [50]. The results support anecdotal reports from the patients and their families that cognitive processing and conversational abilities were improved following the allopregnanolone treatment. Since this was not a randomized controlled study, we cannot rule out the possibility that these improvements were due to a placebo effect, although the improvements seen in ERP results, neuropsychological testing, and MRI findings suggest that allopregnanolone could have had a disease-modifying effect.

We evaluated brain structures that are affected in FXTAS and could potentially have shown effects of allopregnanolone, including the hippocampus, the site of adult neurogenesis [51]; the amygdala, where neurosteroid exerts anxiolytic effect [52, 53]; and the corpus callosum, where the white matter structure is severely damaged in FXTAS [54–59]. Examination of serial MRI scans acquired before the treatment confirmed progressive atrophy in all 3 structures, structural deterioration in the corpus callosum, and expansion of subcortical FLAIR hyperintensities. Importantly, the directions of the pretreatment changes generally displayed consistency across the data points. Compared with the controls, the patients exhibited acceleration of hippocampal atrophy, subcortical hyperintensity expansion, and structural deterioration in the corpus callosum before the treatment. After the treatment, individual patients showed improvement in some of the MRI measurements, while showing no change or worsening in others. None of the changes in MRI measurements reached statistical significance at the 0.05 level, most likely because of significant interpatient variability. In light of variabilities in patients’ baseline conditions, as well as in changes after the treatment, we performed correlational analyses to identify baseline MRI measurements that could predict functional changes. The significant correlations between baseline MRI measurements and functional improvements indicate that allopregnanolone may show effects in improving executive function in patients with relatively healthy hippocampus and corpus callosum and in alleviating psychological symptoms in patients with small hippocampus and amygdala.

Only one previous controlled trial has been performed in individuals with FXTAS, a memantine trial lasting 1 year, but this treatment did not improve tremor, ataxia, or executive function [22]. However, we found that the N400 repetition effect amplitude, thought to index semantic processing and verbal learning/memory, displayed improvements after the memantine treatment compared with placebo, and predicted verbal learning and memory performance in the FXTAS group (but not in a group with prodromal/early Alzheimer disease) [60, 61]. The results suggest that the N400 repetition effect, primarily originating from the temporal neocortex [62, 63], is sensitive to memory changes in FXTAS. In the current open-label study, ERP assessments were conducted in 4 patients, all of whom demonstrated improvements in the N400 repetition effect.

Notably, this preliminary trial was conducted to obtain evidence of treatment benefits in a small sample, and thus the study was not powered to detect statistical significance while controlling for multiple comparisons. The substantial amount of interpatient variability is likely another contributing factor for the nonsignificant results, given the small sample size. Despite all statistical analyses provided in the study, it should be noted that all analyses were based on a small sample size as to provide preliminary evidence on the clinical efficacy of allopregnanolone; thus, an extra level of caution is warranted in using the results. The lack of a placebo group provides preliminary evidence on the clinical efficacy of allopregnanolone in treating FXTAS, which will be subject to future confirmatory studies with larger numbers of patients.

In summary, this open-label treatment trial has provided preliminary evidence that weekly infusion of allopregnanolone in individuals with FXTAS may improve deficits in executive function, learning, and memory. We did not, however, obtain evidence of a benefit for the hallmark neurological symptoms of tremor and ataxia. Subtle improvements on some MRI measurements were also noted for some patients, suggesting that allopregnanolone could be disease-modifying after only 12 weeks of treatment, possibly through an effect on neurogenesis or via neuroprotection. These preliminary results indicate that controlled trials, possibly over a longer treatment period, are warranted.
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